		United State	s District Court
		DISTRICT OF	ARIZONA
Uni	ited S	tates of America v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Ariana Elizabeth Haro			Case Number: 16-04288M-TUC-(DTF)
		Defendant	
		ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hat pending trial in this case.	earing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of
	(1)	Part I I The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.6 would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving ris a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C.§3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprison	
	(2) (3) (4)	§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (described in finding (1).	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. ne defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense on that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety at the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	(1)		ve Findings(A)
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has confirmed for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten ye seq.).	mmitted an offense ears or more is prescribed in The Controlled Substances Act, <u>(21 U.S.C. §801, e</u>
	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).	
		Alternati	ve Findings (B)
	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the s Defendant is a citizen of another country, illegally in the Ur If released herein, defendant faces deportation proceedings b jurisdiction of this court. Defendant has no ties to this community The reports from Pretrial Services Agency are adopted as th	rafety of another person or the community. In this initial states of America. By the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him beyond the
I find	d that th		nent of Reasons for Detention establishes by (clear and convincing evidence)(a preponderance of the evidence)
oppo in ch	nt pract ortunity	ant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his of ticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a conf f the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the Unit	ons Regarding Detention designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable art of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the personated States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a cour
Dated: 3/21/2016			Bernardo P. Velasco United States Magistrate Judge